

List of tables

Table 2.1. Basic socio-demographic indicators for Portugal during the 20 th century.....	64
Table 2.2. Portuguese public system of social security and solidarity as defined in 2004.....	74
Table 2.3. Evolution of social protection in Portugal from the 19 th century to contemporary days.....	76
Table 2.4. Summary table with data on indicators of welfare provision for the EU15 countries.....	83
Table 2.5. Summary table with family characteristics and labour-market participation data for the EU15 countries.....	88
Table 2.6. Number of social assistance services provided, by district, according to the legal status of the provider, in 2000.....	98
Table 3.1. Social protection mechanisms applicable to old age in the Portuguese social security system (as legally defined in 2004).....	110
Table 3.2. Old-age pensions and other cash benefits in 2004.....	113
Table 3.3. Number of pensioners, by pension levels, in 2000.....	115
Table 3.4. Benefits in kind/support services to older people in the Portuguese social protection system.....	117
Table 3.5. Proportion of individuals 65 and over using different types of formal care in EU15 countries.....	122
Table 3.6. Number of old people's homes and residential care units in the solidarity network and in the private for-profit sector, in 2000 – capacity, usage rate and coverage.....	123
Table 3.7. Evolution of social care services for the elderly (number of services opened each year out of the total services available in 2000).....	125
Table 5.1. Cross-national analysis of the living arrangements of the sample of elderly people, in 1994 and in 1998 (row percentages).....	163
Table 5.2. Individual-based variables describing living arrangements among the elderly Europeans, in 1998 (row percentages).....	168
Table 5.3. Living arrangements of the elderly according to their marital status, in 1998 (percentages within living arrangements).....	172

Table 5.4. Summary of the significance of factors associated to the likelihood of an elderly living alone and of living in a complex household, in 1998.....	179
Table 5.5. Median household income of ECHP national samples and elderly sub-samples, in 1998 (household income equalized for the OECD modified scale and harmonized for PPPs)	182
Table 5.6. Shares of working elderly in each type of living arrangements, in 1998 (percentages within living arrangements)	184
Table 5.7. Descriptive variables on the amount and nature of engagement in care for elder people, in 11 ECHP countries, in 1998 (percentages in each national sample)	186
Table 6.1. Living arrangements of the Portuguese elderly sub-sample, in 2000	197
Table 6.2. Descriptive variables for the living arrangements of the Portuguese elderly sub-sample, in 2000 (percentages within living arrangements).....	199
Table 6.3. Median equivalent adult income and median personal income by different grouping variables, in 2000	203
Table 6.4. Multiple regression model for factors of income variation among the elderly, in 2000 (dependent variable is equivalent adult income)	205
Table 6.5. Logistic regression model to estimate the likelihood of a Portuguese elder person being below the poverty line, in 2000.....	207
Table 6.6. Relative position to poverty line measured by total personal income, by type of living arrangement, in 2000.....	208
Table 6.7. Redistribution effect of household income on poverty measured by personal income, by type of living arrangement, in 2000	209
Table 6.8. Multiple regression model for factors of variation in the share of contribution of the Portuguese elderly to the total household income, in extended households, in 2000.....	211
Table 6.9. Descriptive variables for the Portuguese elderly living in extended households, in 2000 (percentages within type of household).....	214
Table 6.10. Descriptive variables for the head of household of the Portuguese elderly living in someone else extended household, in 2000.....	216

Table 6.11. Distribution of tenure status of the elderly people's households for the total sample of elderly and by type of household within tenure status, in 2000 (percentages of type of household within tenure status).....	218
Table 6.12. Proportion of elderly below the poverty line by type of tenure status	219
Table 6.13. Shares of engagement in caring for an adult person and in caring for children for Portuguese 45 plusers, by age group, in 1998 (percentages within total people in age group)	221
Table 6.14. Descriptive variables for carers of young children and of adult person, among Portuguese people aged 45 and more, in 1998 (percentages within type of caring).....	222
Table 7.1. Preferred solution for an elderly needing care, by country, in 1995 (percentages in category within country)	233
Table 7.2. Perception on responsibility for the payment of care for an elderly in need, by country, in 1995 (percentages in category within country).....	234
Table 7.3. Perception of responsibility to pay for the care of elderly parents, by country, in 1998 (percentages in each category within country).....	235
Table 7.4. Perception of the best person to decide what services are appropriate for an elder person in need of care, by country, in 1999 (percentages in each category within country)	237
Table 7.5. Expressed intentions in terms of care for frail elderly parents, by country, in 1998 (percentages in category within country).....	238
Table 7.6. Logistic regression models for the likelihood of declaring as first preference for care provision to elderly parents: family care and formal care, in 1998	240
Table 7.7. Shares of elderly receiving care by source of care, by country, in 1992.....	244
Table 7.8. Shares if elderly receiving care by source of care, by country, in 1992 (percentages in category within country).....	245

Table 7.9. Perceptions about the centrality of family in solving old-age related problems, measured as share if strong agreement or disagreement with some statements, by country, in 1992 (percentages in category within country).....	246
Table 7.10. Preferences of the elderly for care solutions, by country, in 1992 (percentages in category within country)	247
Table 7.11. Logistic regression models for the likelihood of declaring as first preference for care provision: family care and formal care from public services, in 1992.....	248
Table 7.12. Preferences of the Portuguese for care solutions for their elderly parents and perceptions on responsibility for payment of care solutions, in 1998 (percentages within categories of grouping variables)	252