



e-TEALS

An e-journal of **T**eacher **E**ducation and **A**ppplied **L**anguage **S**tudies

This e-journal is sponsored by **CETAPS** (The Centre for English, Translation and Anglo-Portuguese Studies) with a view to providing a forum for the publication of papers which reflect the wide range of scientific perspectives included within the study of English. Articles of a diverse nature, especially those associated with the research sub-unit denominated **TEALS** (the Teaching of English and Applied Language Studies), will be the mainstay of the journal. The journal is supported by the *Faculdade de Letras, Universidade do Porto* and the *Faculdade de Ciências Sociais e Humanas, Universidade Nova de Lisboa*.

e-TEALS: An e-journal of Teacher Education and Applied Language Studies (ISSN 1647-712X) is published once a year by the Digital Information Services department of the Central Library at FLUP.

There is a standing **call for papers**, which should be sent to the CETAPS email address: cetaps@letras.up.pt. Contributions to each annual issue should reach the Editorial Board between September 1st and **December 1st**. All articles submitted must conform to the **Guidelines for Authors (MLA style)** (see *OWL: Purdue Online Writing Lab*, 2009 MLA guide, at <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/owlprint/747/>).

Editorial Board

Carlos Ceia (FCSH) – (Coordinator and) Director of the e - journal

Ana Matos (FCSH)

Allyson Roberts (FCSH)

Vanessa Boutefeu (FCSH)

Maria Elizabeth Ellison de Matos (FLUP)

Nicolas Hurst (FLUP)

Editorial Assistant

Laura Moreira

Scientific committee

Manuela Guilherme (Marie Curie Research Fellow at the Centre for Social Studies, University of Coimbra)

Judith Hanks (The Language Centre, University of Leeds)

María Luisa Pérez Cañado (Departamento de Filología Inglesa, Universidad de Jaén)

Víctor Pavón Vázquez (Dpto. Filologías Inglesa y Alemana, Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Universidad de Córdoba)

Siân Preece (Department of Culture, Communication and Media, Institute of Education, University of London)

Erwin Gierlinger (Institut Ausbildung Allgemeine Pflichtschulen (APS), Department of Modern Languages, University of Education Upper Austria)

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice Statement

It is necessary to agree upon standards of expected ethical behaviour for all parties involved in the act of publishing at *E-TEALS: An e-journal of Teacher Education and Applied Language Studies*: the author, the journal editor, the peer reviewer and the publisher.

Our ethics statements are based on COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors.

Publication decisions

The editor of the E-TEALS is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published.

The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Fair play

An editor at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality

The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author.

DUTIES OF REVIEWERS**Contribution to Editorial Decisions**

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

DUTIES OF AUTHORS

Reporting standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behaviour and are unacceptable.

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

